$R_{\rm int} = 0.060$

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Propylamine-borane

Graeme J. Gainsford* and Mark E. Bowden

Industrial Research Limited, PO Box 31-310, Lower Hutt, New Zealand Correspondence e-mail: g.gainsford@irl.cri.nz

Received 12 May 2009; accepted 18 May 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 93 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; R factor = 0.051; wR factor = 0.138; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.8.

The title compound, $C_3H_{12}BN$, was solved using data collected from a multiple crystal (note incomplete data shell). The cell packing is dominated by bifurcated attractive $N - H^{\delta_+ \cdots \delta_-}H - B$ interactions.

Related literature

For background to our studies of hydrogen storage materials and the synthesis: see Bowden *et al.* (2007, 2008). For other H₃B–N-containing boranes, see: Alston *et al.* (1985); Spielmann *et al.* (2008). For bond lengths and angles in boranes, see: Ting *et al.* (1972); Klooster *et al.* (1999); For hydrogen-bond motifs, see: Bernstein *et al.* (1995).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{3}H_{12}BN \\ M_{r} = 72.95 \\ \text{Monoclinic, } P_{2,1}/c \\ a = 9.173 \ (4) \\ \text{\AA} \\ b = 8.638 \ (3) \\ \text{\AA} \\ c = 7.360 \ (3) \\ \text{\AA} \\ \beta = 97.892 \ (8)^{\circ} \end{array}$

Data collection

Bruker–Nonius APEXII CCD areadetector diffractometer $V = 577.7 (4) Å^{3}$ Z = 4 Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 0.05 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 93 K 0.45 \times 0.25 \times 0.03 mm

Absorption correction: none 846 measured reflections 846 independent reflections 503 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ *Refinement*

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.051$ $wR(F^2) = 0.138$

S = 1.00

846 reflections

57 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$\overline{N1-H8\cdots H11^{i}}$	0.89	2.16	2.96	149
$N1 - H9 \cdots H11^{ii}$	0.89	2.07	2.93	163

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2005); data reduction: *RLATT* (Bruker, 2004) and *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

We thank Dr J. Wikaira of the University of Canterbury, New Zealand, for her assistance with the data collection.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BT2953).

References

- Alston, D. R., Stoddart, J. F., Wolstenholme, J. B., Allwood, B. L. & Williams, D. J. (1985). *Tetrahedron*, **41**, 2923–2926.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Bowden, M. E., Brown, I. W. M., Gainsford, G. J. & Wong, H. (2008). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 361, 2147–2153.

Bowden, M. E., Gainsford, G. J. & Robinson, W. T. (2007). Aust. J. Chem. 60, 149–153.

- Bruker (2004). *RLATT* in *APEX2*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2005). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Klooster, W. T., Koetzle, T. F., Siegbahn, P. E. M., Richardson, T. B. & Crabtree, R. H. (1999). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 6337–6343.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. **39**, 453–457.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Spielmann, J., Jansen, G., Bandmann, H. & Harder, S. (2008). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47, 6290–6295.
- Ting, H.-Y., Watson, W. H. & Kelly, H. C. (1972). Inorg. Chem. 11, 374–377.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o1395 [doi:10.1107/S160053680901887X]

Propylamine-borane

G. J. Gainsford and M. E. Bowden

Comment

We have previously reported structures of ammonia borane (Bowden *et al.*, 2007) (FUYVUQ03) and methylamine borane (Bowden *et al.*, 2008) (EFAGEY) as part our studies of hydrogen storage materials. We were challenged to solve the title compound structure by the poorly crystalline platey crystals and to enhance our understanding of the solid state intermolecular interactions. Most other reported H₃B–N containing boranes are present as solvent in clathrates (*e.g.* DATGAG, Alston *et al.*, 1985); a recent exception is a calcium borylamine complex (VODWUH, Spielmann *et al.*, 2008).

The bond lengths and angles (Fig 1, Table 1) are consistent with those previously reported e.g B–N in solvent ammonia boranes vary from 1.579 to 1.606 Å, whilst the other pure boranes (EDABRO, ethylenediamine-bis(borane) (Ting *et al.*, 1972), FUYVUQ03 & EFAGEY) average 1.592 (12) Å. The non-hydrogen atom chain is effectively coplanar with r.m.s. deviation 0.0136 Å. The refined B–H distance is consistent with previously observed distances of 1.13–1.15 Å.

The molecules are packed utilizing N— $H^{\delta+...\delta-}H$ —B attractive interactions at the N1 hydrogen H11 (Table 2, Figure 2). One links inversion symmetry related molecules (entry 2) resulting in the equivalent of a $R^2_2(8)$ graphset moiety (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995). The other links screw axis related molecules (entry 1). The H…H distances are similar to those found in EDABRO (2.04,2.12 Å) and by neutron diffraction for ammonia borane (2.02 (3), 2.21 (4), 2.23 (4); Klooster *et al.*, 1999).

Experimental

Synthesis was carried out using a procedure analogous to that for methylamine borane (Bowden *et al.*, 2008). An equimolar mixture of propylamine hydrochloride (dried at 110°C) and sodium borohydride were stirred in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran in a reaction flask at room temperature. Evolution of hydrogen gas was observed immediately, and overnight 1 mol of hydrogen was collected. The resulting suspension was filtered to remove sodium chloride, and tetrahydrofuran removed by rotary evaporation. A near quantitative yield of propylamine borane crystals remained.

Refinement

Diffraction data was extracted from the major of multiple intersecting lattices using RLATT (Bruker, 2004). The structure was solved by direct methods but refinement halted at R1 0.18 for the 684 unique data with I>2 σ (I). Inspection of data showed a large number with F₀>>F_c indicating coincidental contributions from the other contributing lattice(*s*). A total of 172 reflections which met the two criteria [with q=1.3], (1) I(obs)/I(calc) > q and (2) (I(obs)-I(calc)) > q σ (I(obs)), were then excluded from the dataset. The conventional R1 for these rejected data was 0.44. The ratio criteria q was varied down to values of 0.9: although the R1 agreement factors converged at around a ratio of 1.0 (R1 0.051, for 491 I>2 σ (I) data) no significant changes occurred in final su values or parameters compared with the slightly larger dataset. On the basis that another analysis of the data would be possible if the larger dataset was presented, the refinement was continued with the

(ratio 1.3) 512 independent remaining reflections (R1 0.0544). Nine further weak intensity reflections at high theta, outliers with I(obs)>>I(calc), were then omitted lowering R1 to 0.0508 for the final dataset (503 I> 2σ (I)).

The X—H bond distances (where X = C1, C2, B1 & N1) were refined. All methyl and other H atoms were refined as riding on their parent atom with U_{iso} 1.5 & 1.2 times respectively that of the U_{eq} of their parent atom.

Figures

Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the asymmetic unit (Farrugia, 1997); displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level. Fig. 2. Cell contents view (Mercury; Macrae *et al.*, 2006). For clarity only a limited set of atoms are labelled. Hydrogen bonds are shown as rippled lines (purple) with carbon, nitrogen & boron atoms gray, blue & pink respectively. Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z (ii) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z (see Table 2).

Propylamine-borane

Crystal data

Crystat aata	
C ₃ H ₁₂ BN	$F_{000} = 168$
$M_r = 72.95$	$D_{\rm x} = 0.839 \ {\rm Mg \ m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	Cell parameters from 1112 reflections
a = 9.173 (4) Å	$\theta = 3.3 - 26.4^{\circ}$
b = 8.638 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.05 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 7.360 (3) Å	T = 93 K
$\beta = 97.892 \ (8)^{\circ}$	Plate, colourless
$V = 577.7 (4) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.45\times0.25\times0.03~\text{mm}$
7 = 4	

Data collection

Bruker–Nonius APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer	846 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	503 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.060$
Detector resolution: 8.192 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.1^{\circ}$
T = 93 K	$\theta_{\min} = 3.7^{\circ}$
φ and ω scans	$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$
Absorption correction: none	$k = 0 \rightarrow 10$
846 measured reflections	$l = 0 \rightarrow 8$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

 $R[F^{2} > 2\sigma(F^{2})] = 0.051$ H-atom parameters constrained $wR(F^{2}) = 0.138$ H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0567P)^{2} + 0.1469P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ S = 1.00(Δ/σ)_{max} < 0.001 846 reflections $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.14 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ 57 parameters $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.14 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and	isotropic d	or equivale	nt isotropic	displa	icement	parameters	$(Å^2$	')
				1	1	1	1		1	1	/

	x	У	Ζ	l	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$	
N1	0.57394 (18)	0.52001 (19	9) 0.274	4 (2)	0.0238 (5)	
H8	0.5692	0.5971	0.194	0 0	0.029*	
Н9	0.5954	0.5610	0.386	(0.029*	
C1	0.6964 (2)	0.4155 (2)	0.241	5 (3)	0.0257 (6)	
H6	0.6737	0.3705	0.123	8 0	0.031*	
H7	0.7038	0.3345	0.328	8 0	0.031*	
C2	0.8429 (2)	0.4958 (3)	0.252	8 (3)	0.0322 (7)	
H4	0.8675	0.5373	0.370	(0.039*	
Н5	0.8353	0.5779	0.169	0 0).039*	
C3	0.9647 (3)	0.3874 (3)	0.212	3 (4)	0.0451 (8)	
H1	0.9727	0.3009	0.2992	2 ().068*	
H2	1.0582	0.4439	0.224	5 ().068*	
H3	0.9418	0.3475	0.087	0 0).068*	
B1	0.4156 (3)	0.4415 (3)	0.260	3 (3)	0.0270 (6)	
H10	0.3330	0.5297	0.293	7 (0.038 (4)*	
H11	0.4196	0.3425	0.360	3 (0.038 (4)*	
H12	0.3825	0.3969	0.116	9 ().038 (4)*	
Atomic displacer	nent parameters	$(Å^2)$				
	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
N1	0.0366 (11)	0.0151 (9)	0.0210 (10)	-0.0006 (7)	0.0080 (8)	-0.0003 (7)
C1	0.0373 (13)	0.0181 (11)	0.0223 (12)	0.0025 (9)	0.0066 (9)	0.0006 (8)

supplementary materials

C2	0.0389 (15)	0.0311 (13)	0.0268 (13)	0.0016 (11)	0.0053 (10)	0.0013 (10)
C3	0.0395 (16)	0.0542 (17)	0.0432 (16)	0.0078 (13)	0.0109 (12)	0.0059 (12)
B1	0.0372 (15)	0.0226 (13)	0.0216 (13)	-0.0017 (11)	0.0056 (10)	-0.0002 (9)
Geometric paran	neters (Å, °)					
N1—C1		1.487 (3)	C2—	H4	0.9359	9
N1—B1		1.593 (3)	C2—	Н5	0.9359	9
N1—H8		0.8880	С3—	H1	0.9800	
N1—H9		0.8880	С3—	H2	0.9800	
C1—C2		1.504 (3)	С3—	H3	0.9800)
C1—H6		0.9465	B1—	H10	1.1255	
C1—H7		0.9465	9465 B1—H11		1.1255	
C2—C3		1.518 (3)	B1—	31—H12		5
C1—N1—B1		115.68 (17)	С1—С2—Н5		109.1	
C1—N1—H8		108.4		С2—Н5	109.1	
B1—N1—H8	—Н8 108.4		H4—	-С2—Н5	107.9	
C1—N1—H9	108.4 C2—C3—H1		109.5			
B1—N1—H9		108.4	108.4 C2—C3—H2		109.5	
H8—N1—H9		107.4	H1—	-С3—Н2	109.5	
N1—C1—C2		113.60 (17)	C2—	С3—Н3	109.5	
N1—C1—H6		108.8	H1—	-С3—Н3	109.5	
C2-C1-H6		108.8	H2—	-С3—Н3	109.5	
N1—C1—H7		108.8	N1—	B1—H10	109.5	
С2—С1—Н7		108.8	N1—	B1—H11	109.5	
H6—C1—H7		107.7	H10–	-B1-H11	109.5	
C1—C2—C3		112.4 (2)	N1—	B1—H12	109.5	
C1—C2—H4		109.1	H10–	B1H12	109.5	
С3—С2—Н4		109.1	H11–	–B1—H12	109.5	

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A			
N1—H8…H11 ⁱ	0.89	2.16	2.96	149			
N1—H9…H11 ⁱⁱ	0.89	2.07	2.93	163			
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1$, $y+1/2$, $-z+1/2$; (ii) $-x+1$, $-y+1$, $-z+1$.							





Fig. 2